UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,992	02/07/2007	Nina Rautonen	14923.0032	5592
27890 7590 06/16/2009 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP			EXAMINER	
1330 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, N.	W.	MACAULEY, SHERIDAN R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/571,992	RAUTONEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Fermions</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Fermions</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action for allowed the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Fermions</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The instant application contains claims drawn to the use of a product for various applications. Such claims generally raise issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 101 (see MPEP 2173.05(q)). Applicant is advised that such claims should be amended to clearly recite a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, as set forth in 35 USC 101.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to the use of a microorganism or metabolite thereof.

Group II, claim(s) 12-16 and 28, drawn to a pharmaceutical preparation.

Group III, claim(s) 17-19 and 23-27 drawn to a method of treating a subject.

Group IV, claim(s) 20, drawn to a method of preventing and/or treating reduced weight gain in livestock.

Group V, claim(s) 21, drawn to a method of improving the health of a subject.

Group VI, claim(s) 22, drawn to a method of treating and/or preventing the side-effects associated with the administration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Group VII, claim(s) 29-33, drawn to a pharmaceutical pack comprising one ore more compartments.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

Group VIII, claim(s) 34 and 35, drawn to a process of preparation of a pharmaceutical composition.

Group IX, claim(s) 36-39, drawn to a pharmaceutical preparation.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I through IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The technical feature that is common to the groups is a pharmaceutical composition comprising a microorganism or metabolite thereof that at least increases the amount of COX-1 mRNA in at least one cell, such as one comprising a Bifidobacterium. However, such compositions were known in the art at the time of the invention, as evidenced by Collins, et al. (WO 03/010297; see abstract; document cited in IDS). Therefore, there is no special technical feature common to the groups that makes a contribution over the prior art.

Species Election Requirement

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The groups of species are as follows:

A. For Groups I and II, election is required of one of the various species of conditions and disorders recited in claims 4 and 19. For instance, applicant may elect asthma, hay fever or dermatological disease.

B. For Groups I-III, VII and IX, election is required of one of the various species of microorganisms recited in claims 10, 15, 27, 32 and 39. Applicant may elect *Bifidiobacterium* sp. 420, *B. lactis*, *B. longum*, *B. breve* or *B. animalis*.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the manner set forth above.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 18 is generic for species group A and claims 6, 9, 12, 14, 17, 29, 36 and 38 are generic for species group B.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The technical feature that is common to the species is a pharmaceutical composition, and method of using the composition, comprising a microorganism or metabolite thereof that at least increases the amount of COX-1 mRNA in at least one cell, such as one comprising a Bifidobacterium. However, such compositions were known in the art at the time of the invention, as evidenced by Collins, et al. (WO 03/010297; see abstract; document cited in IDS). Therefore, there is no special technical feature common to the species that makes a contribution over the prior art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the

Application/Control Number: 10/571,992 Page 6

Art Unit: 1651

above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY whose telephone number is (571)270-3056. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5:00PM EST, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,992 Page 7

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SRM /Ruth A. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651